

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/356,926	07/19/1999	WIM J. VAN OOIJ	19789-008	8477
7590 . 05/13/2004			EXAMINER	
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
1900 CHEMED 255 EAST FIFT			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1775	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1.4				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/356,926	OOIJ ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael La Villa	1775				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addres	S			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NC - Failu Any earn	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma oly within the statutory minimum o I will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commulate ABANDONED (35,0.S. § 133).	nication.			
Status			, .				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/27						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6,9,10,12-14,16 and 17 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,9,10,12-14,16 and 17 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)⊡ objected	I to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		= ' ' '				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureassee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in the have been received in the have been received.	in Application No een received in this National Staç	ge			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) 🔲 Notice	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	?)			

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 February 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 3. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is unclear how applicant derives antecedent support for the limitation of volumetric ratio of "greater than 4." The cited portions at pages 17 and 18 pertain to a specific combination of vinyl silane and bis-silyl aminosilane and do not support the entire breadth of the claimed invention. As well the cited portions only exemplify ratios of 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9. It is unclear how

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926

Art Unit: 1775

these specific examples relate to "greater than 4." The Specification at page 12, lines 16 and 17 refers to ratios of "at least about 4." It is unclear how this description relates to "greater than 4."

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 6. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - I. Regarding Claim 1, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "greater than 4." It is unclear whether the referred to volumetric ratio is necessarily greater than exactly four, such as greater than "4.000000," or necessarily greater than "about 4," which would encompass amounts slightly less than exactly four as well as amounts greater than exactly four. It is unclear whether there are significant digits to be associated with the claimed "4" and, if so, what are they. This uncertainty is raised, in part, due to the absence of clear antecedent basis for this limitation in the original disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926

Art Unit: 1775

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. USP 6,071,566 for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed on 18 July 2003.

Response to Amendment

In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant traverses the section 103 rejection over Brown of the Office Action mailed on 18 July 2003. Applicant argues that Brown does not teach or suggest the claimed feature of a volumetric ratio of "greater than 4" because Brown teaches or suggests only a volumetric ratio of "4:1." As implicitly acknowledged by applicant, Brown can be fairly said to teach or suggest a volumetric ratio of "4:1." One of ordinary skill in the art would seemingly interpret this to require a volumetric ratio of "about 4," as opposed to a volumetric ratio that was necessarily no greater than exactly four, such as no greater than "4.000000." Applicant's claim

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

requires a volumetric ratio of "greater than 4." As has been addressed above, the meaning of this limitation may be indefinite. Nevertheless, the limitation may be interpreted to encompass any volumetric ratio that is greater than exactly four or that is greater than about 4. Thus, by either interpretation, Brown's "4:1," i.e., "about 4," would be encompassed by this limitation. Hence, the rejection must be maintained.

II. In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant traverses the section 112, first paragraph rejection of the Office Action mailed on 18 July 2003. Rejection is withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926 Page 6

Art Unit: 1775

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaVelle

Michael La Villa May 7, 2004